

DECISION OF THE ATHLETICS INTEGRITY UNIT IN THE CASE OF MR PETER NDOROBO KWEMOI

Introduction

- 1. In April 2017, World Athletics (formerly the IAAF) established the Athletics Integrity Unit ("**AIU**") whose role is to protect the integrity of the sport of Athletics, including fulfilling the World Athletics' obligations as a Signatory to the World Anti-Doping Code. World Athletics has delegated implementation of the World Athletics Anti-Doping Rules ("**ADR**") to the AIU, including but not limited to the following activities in relation to International-Level Athletes: Testing, Investigations, Results Management, Hearings, Sanctions and Appeals.
- 2. Mr Peter Ndorobo Kwemoi is a 27-year old Kenyan long-distance runner who is an International-Level Athlete for the purposes of the ADR (the "**Athlete**").
- 3. This decision is accordingly issued pursuant to Article 8.4.7 ADR which provides that:
 - 8.4.7 "[i]n the event that [...] the Athlete or Athlete Support Person admits the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged and accedes to the Consequences specified by the Integrity Unit [...], a hearing before the Disciplinary Tribunal shall not be required. In such a case, the Integrity Unit [...] shall promptly issue a decision confirming [...] the commission of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) and the imposition of the Specified Consequences [...] ".

The Athlete's commission of Anti-Doping Rule Violations

- 4. On 3 November 2019, the Athlete was subject to in-competition Testing in Istanbul, Turkey. The Athlete provided a urine sample numbered 4365008 (the **"Sample**").
- On 16 December 2019, the World Anti-Doping Agency ("WADA") accredited laboratory in Ankara, Turkey reported an Adverse Analytical Finding for the presence of recombinant EPO ("EPO") in the Sample (the "AAF").
- 6. EPO is a Prohibited Substance under the WADA 2019 Prohibited List (S2: Peptide Hormones, Growth Factors, Related Substances and Mimetics). It is a Non-Specified Substance and is prohibited at all times. The Athlete did not have a TUE permitting the use of EPO.
- 7. On 17 December 2019, the AIU notified the Athlete of the AAF and imposed a provisional suspension pending resolution of his case. The Athlete was requested to provide an explanation for the presence of EPO in the Sample by no later than 5 January 2020 and was afforded the opportunity to request analysis of the B Sample.
- 8. On 27 December 2019, the Athlete replied to the Notice of Allegation and stated that he acknowledged receipt of the AIU's correspondence and accepted the finding of EPO in his Sample.



- 9. On 24 January 2020, the AIU therefore issued the Athlete with a Notice of Charge for committing Anti-Doping Rule Violations pursuant to Article 2.1 ADR (Presence of a Prohibited Substance) and Article 2.2 ADR (Use of a Prohibited Substance). The Athlete was offered the opportunity to either admit the Anti-Doping Rule Violations and accept a four (4) year period of ineligibility, or to request a hearing before the Disciplinary Tribunal.
- 10. On 30 January 2020, the Athlete admitted committing Anti-Doping Rule Violations for the Presence of EPO in the Sample and the Use of EPO and accepted the proposed Consequences by signing and returning an Admission of Anti-Doping Rule Violations and Acceptance of Consequences Form.

Consequences

- 11. Taken together, the Anti-Doping Rule Violations constitute the Athlete's first Anti-Doping Rule Violation under the ADR.
- 12. On the basis that the Athlete has admitted to committing Anti-Doping Rule Violations under Article 2.1 ADR and Article 2.2 ADR, the AIU confirms by this decision the following Consequences for a first Anti-Doping Rule Violation:
 - 13.1 a period of Ineligibility of four (4) years pursuant to Article 10.2.1(a) commencing on 17 December 2019; and
 - 13.2. disqualification of the Athlete's results since 3 November 2019 with all resulting consequences, including the forfeiture of any titles, awards, medals, points and prize and appearance money pursuant to Articles 9 and 10.8 ADR.
- 13. The Athlete has accepted the above Consequences for his Anti-Doping Rule Violations and has expressly waived his right to have those Consequences determined by the Disciplinary Tribunal at a hearing.

Publication

- 14. In accordance with Article 8.4.7(b) ADR, the AIU shall publicly report this decision on the AIU's website.
- 15. This decision constitutes the final decision of the AIU pursuant to Article 8.4.7 ADR.
- 16. Further to Article 13.2.4 ADR, WADA and the Anti-Doping Agency of Kenya ("**ADAK**") have a right of appeal against this decision to the Court of Arbitration for Sport in Lausanne, Switzerland, in accordance with the procedure set out at Article 13.7 ADR.
- 17. If an appeal is filed against this decision by WADA or ADAK, the Athlete will be entitled to exercise his right of cross-appeal in accordance with Article 13.9.3 ADR.

Monaco, 14 February 2020